

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 101939
ORIGIN EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 DHA-02 SS-15 ACDA-07 SP-02 PM-04
NSC-05 DODE-00 CU-02 CIAE-00 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00
PA-01 PRS-01 TRSE-00 USIE-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00
INRE-00 /062 R

DRAFTED BY:EUR/RPM:JHMADDEN:EB
APPROVED BY:EUR:JGLOWENSTEIN
D/HA:EOJONE
C:RHOPPER
D:SOXMAN
ACDA:MHUMPHREYS
S/P:PKAPLAN
S/S:MR SHANKLE
PM/DCA:LBRECKON
NSC:RHUNTER;HOWEN
DOD/ISA:MGLITMAN
-----050259Z 007323 /72

O 042258Z MAY 77
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO USMISSION NATO IMMEDIATE

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 101939

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR,NAC, NATO

SUBJ: NATO SUMMIT COMMUNIQUE

REF: A) USNATO 2669 B) USNATO 2678

1. SEPTTEL DEALS WITH PORTIONS OF COMMUNIQUE CONCERNING US
PROPOSALS FOR NATO SUMMIT. THIS MESSAGE TREATS ALL OTHER SUBJECTS.

2. PARA 2 OF REVISED DRAFT CONTAINS NEW FORMULATION REFERRING TO
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 101939

PROMOTION OF DEMOCRACY AND RESPECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS, INDIVIDUAL
FREEDOM, JUSTICE AND SOCIAL PROGRESS. IN VIEW OF ALLIED RELUCTANCE
TO MOVE PARAGRAPH ON HUMAN RIGHTS FORWARD IN THE TEXT, AND
CONSIDERING ADDED REFERENCE TO HUMAN RIGHTS AT OUTSET OF COMMUNIQUE,
YOU NEED NOT PRESS FOR ADVANCING POSITION OF HUMAN RIGHTS PARA-
GRAPH IN THE TEXT.

3. CONCERNING SENTENCE ON SALT, YOU SHOULD CONTINUE TO URGE FORMULATION WHICH WE HAVE SUBMITTED, INCLUDING PHRASE THAT ALLIES "FIRMLY SUPPORT" US EFFORTS. YOU MIGHT POINT OUT THAT AT CURRENT STAGE OF SALT NEGOTIATIONS, SOLID BACKING OF NATO ALLIES WOULD BE HELPFUL. IF FRENCH CONTINUE TO RESIST THIS FORMULATION, YOU MAY ACCEPT SUBSTITUTE IN PRESENT TEXT THAT ALLIES "WARMLY WELCOME" US EFFORTS.

4. ON MBFR, YOU SHOULD CONTINUE TO WORK TO REDUCE LENGTH, PREFERABLY TO SINGLE SENTENCE. YOU MAY, IF NECESSARY, ACCEPT FORMULATION CONSISTING OF TWO SENTENCES WHICH SEVERAL ALLIES SEEM TO FAVOR. WE CAN ACCEPT BRACKETED UK LANGUAGE IN FIRST SENTENCE. WE CAN ALSO ACCEPT SECOND SENTENCE AND ARE PREPARED TO GO WITH CONSENSUS ON WHETHER PHRASE INCLUDES BRACKETED FRG LANGUAGE ON TANKS.

5. REGARDING BERLIN, WE EXPECT LANGUAGE TO BE AVAILABLE MAY 9 FOLLOWING QUADRIPARTITE MEETING.

6. CONCERNING CSCE, YOU SHOULD REITERATE THAT US ATTACHES IMPORTANCE TO A STRONG PARAGRAPH ON THIS SUBJECT SHORTLY BEFORE THE BELGRADE PREPARATORY MEETING BEGINS. WE CONTINUE TO BELIEVE THAT WE SHOULD CLEARLY INDICATE THAT NOT ENOUGH IMPLEMENTATION HAS TAKEN PLACE. THE UK FORMULATION NOTES THAT IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS HAS BEEN "UNSATISFACTORY" IN SEVERAL FIELDS. OUR COMPROMISE FORMULATION NOTES THAT SUCH PROGRESS HAS "ONLY BEEN LIMITED." WE FAVOR OUR FORMULATION, BUT
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 101939

WOULD ALSO BE PREPARED TO ACCEPT THE UK ALTERNATIVE, IF THE WORK "LIMITED" WERE INSERTED IN THE FIRST SENTENCE. SENTENCE WOULD THEN READ: "THEY WELCOME THE LIMITED STEPS THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN TAKEN TOWARDS THIS END, BUT NOTE THAT IN A NUMBER OF FIELDS PROGRESS HAS BEEN UNSATISFACTORY." WE COULD NOT ACCEPT THE THIRD BRACKETED FORMULATION ON THIS ISSUE.

7. ADDITIONALLY, IN ORDER TO ENCOURAGE THE EASTERN STATES TO CARRY OUT THEIR COMMITMENTS IN THE PERIOD BEFORE THE FOLLOW-UP MEETING, WE BELIEVE IT IS IMPORTANT TO INDICATE THAT THE DEGREE OF CONCRETE IMPLEMENTATION STEPS THAT STATES HAVE TAKEN WILL HAVE AN EFFECT ON THE PROCEEDINGS AT BELGRADE. YOU SHOULD CONTINUE TO PRESS FOR INCLUSION OF LANGUAGE ON THIS POINT AND INDICATE THAT WE WOULD BE INTERESTED IN ALTERNATE FORMULATIONS.

8. AS TO OUR SUGGESTED SPECIFIC LANGUAGE ON NEW PRO-

POSALS, YOU SHOULD INDICATE THAT, IN VIEW OF ABSENCE OF SUPPORT AMONG ALLIES, WE ARE PREPARED TO DROP IT. HOWEVER, WE WISH TO SEE A PHRASE FROM OUR FORMULATION, WHICH INDIRECTLY REFLECTS THE IDEA THAT FURTHER PROGRESS CAN BE MADE THROUGH DEVELOPMENT OF SPECIFIC PROPOSALS. THE NEW FORMULATION WOULD BE THE FIRST BRACKETED LANGUAGE ON THIS QUESTION IN THE IS DRAFT, PLUS A PHRASE FROM OUR

PREFERRED FORMULATION (WITHIN SECOND BRACKETS ON THIS ISSUE). IT WOULD READ: "THE FORTHCOMING BELGRADE MEETING WILL PROVIDE A USEFUL OPPORTUNITY FOR A THOROUGH REVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE FINAL ACT, FOR CONSIDERING HOW TO ACHIEVE FURTHER PROGRESS ON THE PART OF ALL PARTICIPANTS, AND FOR AN EXCHANGE OF VIEWS ON WAYS OF DEVELOPING THE PROCESS OF DETENTE IN THE FUTURE."

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 101939

9. FINALLY, YOU SHOULD SEEK DELETION OF PHRASE "WILL PLAY A CONSTRUCTIVE ROLE AND," WHICH IS IN BRACKETS IN THE FINAL SENTENCE OF THE CSCE PARAGRAPH IN THE PRESENT DRAFT.

10. ON HUMAN RIGHTS, OUR SUGGESTED LANGUAGE STRENGTHENS THE PARAGRAPH, AND YOU SHOULD TRY TO RETAIN AS MUCH OF THE PRESENTLY BRACKETED US LANGUAGE AS POSSIBLE, BUT DEPENDING ON ALLIED RESISTANCE, MAKE EFFORTS TO MOVE TOWARD CONSENSUS ON THIS ISSUE. FYI. ADDITIONAL REFERENCE TO HUMAN RIGHTS AT OUTSET OF PRESENT DRAFT IMPROVES IT, AND AFFORDS US LEEWAY TO ACCEPT PARAGRAPH WHICH IS NOT QUITE AS STRONG AS WE WOULD PREFER. END FYI.

11. ON PARA 8 OF DRAFT TEXT, YOU SHOULD CONTINUE TO TRY TO STRENGTHEN IT, DRAWING ON LANGUAGE SUGGESTED BY INTERNATIONAL STAFF. IF NECESSARY, YOU MAY ACCEPT PARAGRAPH AS PREFERRED BY ALLIES, DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO OTHER SECTIONS OF THE COMMUNIQUE OF GREATER INTEREST TO US.
VANCE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 101939
ORIGIN EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /013 R

66011

DRAFTED BY: EUR/RPM :JHHAWES

APPROVED BY: EUR/RPM :JHHAWES

-----060200Z 032985 /15

O 051910Z MAY 77

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY LONDON IMMEDIATE

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 101939

FOLLOWING REPEAT STATE 101939 ACTION NATO MAY 04,1977

QTE C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 101939

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR,NAC, NATO

SUBJ: NATO SUMMIT COMMUNIQUE

REF: A) USNATO 2669 B) USNATO 2678

1. SEPTTEL DEALS WITH PORTIONS OF COMMUNIQUE CONCERNING US
PROPOSALS FOR NATO SUMMIT. THIS MESSAGE TREATS ALL OTHER SUBJECTS.

2. PARA 2 OF REVISED DRAFT CONTAINS NEW FORMULATION REFERRING TO
PROMOTION OF DEMOCRACY AND RESPECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS, INDIVIDUAL
FREEDOM, JUSTICE AND SOCIAL PROGRESS. IN VIEW OF ALLIED RELUCTANCE
TO MOVE PARAGRAPH ON HUMAN RIGHTS FORWARD IN THE TEXT, AND
CONSIDERING ADDED REFERENCE TO HUMAN RIGHTS AT OUTSET OF COMMUNIQUE,
YOU NEED NOT PRESS FOR ADVANCING POSITION OF HUMAN RIGHTS PARA-
GRAPH IN THE TEXT.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 101939

3. CONCERNING SENTENCE ON SALT, YOU SHOULD CONTINUE TO URGE
FORMULATION WHICH WE HAVE SUBMITTED, INCLUDING PHRASE THAT
ALLIES "FIRMLY SUPPORT" US EFFORTS. YOU MIGHT POINT OUT THAT AT
CURRENT STAGE OF SALT NEGOTIATIONS, SOLID BACKING OF NATO ALLIES
WOULD BE HELPFUL. IF FRENCH CONTINUE TO RESIST THIS FORMULATION,
YOU MAY ACCEPT SUBSTITUTE IN PRESENT TEXT THAT ALLIES "WARMLY WEL-
COME"US EFFORTS.

4. ON MBFR, YOU SHOULD CONTINUE TO WORK TO REDUCE LENGTH, PREFERABLY TO SINGLE SENTENCE. YOU MAY, IF NECESSARY, ACCEPT FORMULATION CONSISTING OF TWO SENTENCES WHICH SEVERAL ALLIES SEEM TO FAVOR. WE CAN ACCEPT BRACKETED UK LANGUAGE IN FIRST SENTENCE. WE CAN ALSO ACCEPT SECOND SENTENCE AND ARE PREPARED TO GO WITH CONSENSUS ON WHETHER PHRASE INCLUDES BRACKETED FRG LANGUAGE ON TANKS.

5. REGARDING BERLIN, WE EXPECT LANGUAGE TO BE AVAILABLE MAY 9 FOLLOWING QUADRIPARTITE MEETING.

6. CONCERNING CSCE, YOU SHOULD REITERATE THAT US ATTACHES IMPORTANCE TO A STRONG PARAGRAPH ON THIS SUBJECT SHORTLY BEFORE THE BELGRADE PREPARATORY MEETING BEGINS. WE CONTINUE TO BELIEVE THAT WE SHOULD CLEARLY INDICATE THAT NOT ENOUGH IMPLEMENTATION HAS TAKEN PLACE. THE UK FORMULATION NOTES THAT IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS HAS BEEN "UNSATISFACTORY" IN SEVERAL FIELDS. OUR COMPROMISE FORMULATION NOTES THAT SUCH PROGRESS HAS "ONLY BEEN LIMITED." WE FAVOR OUR FORMULATION, BUT WOULD ALSO BE PREPARED TO ACCEPT THE UK ALTERNATIVE, IF THE WORK "LIMITED" WERE INSERTED IN THE FIRST SENTENCE. SENTENCE WOULD THEN READ: "THEY WELCOME THE LIMITED STEPS THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN TAKEN TOWARDS THIS END, BUT NOTE THAT IN A NUMBER OF FIELDS PROGRESS HAS BEEN UNSATISFACTORY." WE COULD NOT ACCEPT THE THIRD BRACKETED FORMULATION ON THIS ISSUE.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 101939

7. ADDITIONALLY, IN ORDER TO ENCOURAGE THE EASTERN STATES TO CARRY OUT THEIR COMMITMENTS IN THE PERIOD BEFORE THE FOLLOW-UP MEETING, WE BELIEVE IT IS IMPORTANT TO INDICATE THAT THE DEGREE OF CONCRETE IMPLEMENTATION STEPS THAT STATES HAVE TAKEN WILL HAVE AN EFFECT ON THE PROCEEDINGS AT BELGRADE. YOU SHOULD CONTINUE TO PRESS FOR INCLUSION OF LANGUAGE ON THIS POINT AND INDICATE THAT WE WOULD BE INTERESTED IN ALTERNATE FORMULATIONS.

8. AS TO OUR SUGGESTED SPECIFIC LANGUAGE ON NEW PROPOSALS, YOU SHOULD INDICATE THAT, IN VIEW OF ABSENCE OF SUPPORT AMONG ALLIES, WE ARE PREPARED TO DROP IT. HOWEVER, WE WISH TO SEE A PHRASE FROM OUR FORMULATION, WHICH INDIRECTLY REFLECTS THE IDEA THAT FURTHER PROGRESS CAN BE MADE THROUGH DEVELOPMENT OF SPECIFIC PROPOSALS. THE NEW FORMULATION WOULD BE THE FIRST BRACKETED LANGUAGE ON THIS QUESTION IN THE IS DRAFT, PLUS A PHRASE FROM OUR

PREFERRED FORMULATION (WITHIN SECOND BRACKETS ON THIS ISSUE). IT WOULD READ: "THE FORTHCOMING BELGRADE MEETING WILL PROVIDE A USEFUL OPPORTUNITY FOR A THOROUGH REVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE FINAL ACT, FOR CONSIDERING HOW TO ACHIEVE FURTHER PROGRESS ON THE PART OF ALL PARTICIPANTS, AND FOR AN EXCHANGE OF VIEWS ON WAYS OF DEVELOPING THE PROCESS OF DETENTE IN THE FUTURE."

9. FINALLY, YOU SHOULD SEEK DELETION OF PHRASE "WILL PLAY A CONSTRUCTIVE ROLE AND," WHICH IS IN BRACKETS IN THE FINAL SENTENCE OF THE CSCE PARAGRAPH IN THE PRESENT DRAFT.

10. ON HUMAN RIGHTS, OUR SUGGESTED LANGUAGE STRENGTHENS THE PARAGRAPH, AND YOU SHOULD TRY TO RETAIN AS MUCH OF CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 101939

THE PRESENTLY BRACKETED US LANGUAGE AS POSSIBLE, BUT DEPENDING ON ALLIED RESISTANCE, MAKE EFFORTS TO MOVE TOWARD CONSENSUS ON THIS ISSUE. FYI. ADDITIONAL REFERENCE TO HUMAN RIGHTS AT OUTSET OF PRESENT DRAFT IMPROVES IT, AND AFFORDS US LEEWAY TO ACCEPT PARAGRAPH WHICH IS NOT QUITE AS STRONG AS WE WOULD PREFER. END FYI.

11. ON PARA 8 OF DRAFT TEXT, YOU SHOULD CONTINUE TO TRY TO STRENGTHEN IT, DRAWING ON LANGUAGE SUGGESTED BY INTERNATIONAL STAFF. IF NECESSARY, YOU MAY ACCEPT PARAGRAPH AS PREFERRED BY ALLIES, DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO OTHER SECTIONS OF THE COMMUNIQUE OF GREATER INTEREST TO US.
VANCE UNQTE CHRISTOPHER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: SUMMIT MEETINGS, COMMUNIQUE, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 04-May-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977STATE101939
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: EUR/RPM:JHMADDEN:EB
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D770157-0428
Format: TEL
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770593/aaaadcgz.tel
Line Count: 315
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 8f3f8c9a-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 6
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 04-Feb-2005 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2638477
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: NATO SUMMIT COMMUNIQUE
TAGS: PFOR, US, NAC, NATO
To: NATO BRUSSELS
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/8f3f8c9a-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009